IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

WRIT PETITION NO. 996 (SB) OF 2002

1. Radhey Shyam Sharma,
S/o Shri Charan Lal,
2. Maheshwari Prasad Thapliyal,
S/o Shri Lalita Prasad,
3. Rajendra Singh Chauhan,
S/o Shri Kalu Singh,
4. Shankar Dutt Sharma,
S/o late Shri Dharma Nand,
5. Rajendra Singh Pundir,
S/o Shri Roop Singh,
All above working as Collection Amin in the office of Additional District Magistrate (Finance & Revenue), Dehradun. .....Petitioners.

Versus

1. Chief Revenue Commissioner, Garhwal Mandal, Dehradun.
2. Collector, Dehradun.
3. Additional district Magistrate (Finance and Revenue), Dehradun.
4. Gurdeep Singh Kala, S/o Shri Kalyan Singh,
5. Matadeen Dingh,
S/o Shri Ram Karan Singh,
Both the respondents are working as Collevtion Amin in the office of Additional District Magistrate (Finance & Revenue), Dehradun. .....Respondents.

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

Heard Sri Rajendra Dobhal, Advocate for the petitioners, Standing Counsel for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Sri A. Rab, Advocate for the respondents no. 4 and 5.

2. By the present writ petition, the petitioners have prayed for a writ of mandamus commanding the respondents to correct the seniority of petitioners and issue a final seniority list placing the petitioners above the respondent nos. 4 and 5. The petitioners have also sought a writ of certiorari quashing the seniority list, which has become final.

3. Since alternative remedy is available to the petitioners for approaching the Public Service Tribunal, the writ petition lacks merit and deserves to be dismissed on the ground of alternative remedy.

4. In view of the above, writ petition lacks merit and is dismissed on the ground of alternative remedy. No order as to costs.

(Rajesh Tandon, J.)

29.08.2006
Rathour